arXiv:cond-mat/9908028v3 [cond-mat.str-el] 9 Sep 1999

The Fermion-ladder Models: Extensions of the Hubbard Model with η-pairing

Heng Fan[*]
Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science,
University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We propose the two-leg fermion-ladder models for $SU(2|2)$ and $SU(4)$ cases. The former is exactly the extended Hubbard model proposed by Essler, Korepin and Schoutens. The later is a new model also with η-pairing symmetry which is important for superconductivity. This new extension of the Hubbard model can be solved exactly.

PACS: 75.10.Jm, 71.10.Fd, 05.30.Fk.

Since the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity, much more attention has been paied to the theoretical mechanism for such phenomena. Most proposals concern about the Hubbard model and the $t-J$ model [1]. C.N.Yang [2] mentioned the importance of η-pairing mechanism and the property of off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO) for the eigenfunctions in superconductivity. Essler, Korepin and Schoutens [3] propsed an extended Hubbard model with η-pairing symmetry. They showed that the states of this extended Hubbard model exhibite ODLRO and is thus superconducting.

In [4], the authors introduced the interlayer tunneling mechanism to explain the superconductivity. And the gap equation can be derived by considering two close CuO layers described by the BCS reduced Hamiltonian and coupled by the momentun conserving Josephson pair tunneling term.

Recently, both in experiment and theory, there also has been growing interest in the spin ladders for their relevance to some quasi-one-dimensional materials, which under hole-doping may show superconductivity[5]. And many spin-ladder models and fermion-ladder models including $t-J$ ladders and Hubbard ladders are proposed [6].

In this letter, motivated by the the construction of spin-ladder models, we study the coupled fermion models. We construct the most simple fermion-ladder models for $SU(2|2)$ and $SU(4)$ cases. The first one gives the extended Hubbard model which has already been studied[3], the second is a new extended Hubbard model which also has the symmetry of η-pairing and its eigenfunctions possess ODLRO.

Generally, we will concentrate on the integrable models which can be solved exactly. We first start from the integrable one-dimensional fermion chain with $SU(1|1)$ symmetry. Electrons on a lattice are described by canonical Fermi operators satisfying $\{a_i^\dagger, a_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. The Fock vacuum state $|0>$ satisfies

---

[*] Permanent address: Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, P.R.China

$a_i|0>=0$. The number operator for electrons on site i is denoted by $n_{i,a}=a_i^\dagger a_i$. The Hamiltonian of L site fermion chain is written as

$$H_a=\sum_{<jk>}[a_j^\dagger a_k+a_k^\dagger a_j-n_{j,a}-n_{k,a}], \tag{1}$$

where summation is taken for nearest neighbours. Similarly, we suppose there is another fermion chain with different Fermion operators $b_i^\dagger, b_i$ and number operator $n_{i,b}$. And the two kind of Fermi operators still satisfy anti-commutation relation. We thus can construct the two-leg fermion-ladder model as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab} &= t\sum_{<jk>}[a_j^\dagger a_k+a_k^\dagger a_j-n_{j,a}-n_{k,a}+b_j^\dagger b_k+b_k^\dagger b_j-n_{j,b}-n_{k,b}] \\ &+J\sum_{<jk>}[a_j^\dagger a_k+a_k^\dagger a_j-n_{j,a}-n_{k,a}]\times[b_j^\dagger b_k+b_k^\dagger b_j-n_{j,b}-n_{k,b}]+U\sum_{j=1}^{L}n_{j,a}n_{j,b} \\ &+\mu_a\sum_{j=1}^{L}n_{j,a}+\mu_b\sum_{j=1}^{L}n_{j,b}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This Hamiltonian does not include all coupled terms between the two fermion chains, but we will still reduce this Hamiltonian to a more special case. Let $t=-1, J=-1, \mu_a=\mu_b=-U/2$, up to a constant, this Hamiltonian is equivalent to the extended Hubbard model proposed in [3]. Here we use some more familiar notations, actually we express this two kind of Fermi operators as one kind of Fermi operators with spin down and spin up respectively, let $a_j^\dagger=c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger, a_j=c_{j,\uparrow}, b_j^\dagger=c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger, b_j=c_{j,\downarrow}$, and similarly we denote $n_{j,a}=n_{j,\uparrow}, n_{j,b}=n_{j,\downarrow}$, and also we have $n_j=n_{j,\uparrow}+n_{j,\downarrow}$. The anticommutation relations between Fermi operators can be expressed as $\{c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger, c_{j,\tau}\}=\delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\tau}$. We can write the fermion-ladder model as

$$H_{eks}=-H_{eks}^0+U\sum_{j=1}^{L}(n_{j,\uparrow}-\frac{1}{2})(n_{j,\downarrow}-\frac{1}{2}), \tag{3}$$

where the two coupled fermion chains are presented as

$$H_{eks}^0=\sum_{<jk>}[c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k,\uparrow}+c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j,\uparrow}-n_{j,\uparrow}-n_{k,\uparrow}+1]\times[c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k,\downarrow}+c_{k,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j,\downarrow}-n_{j,\downarrow}-n_{k,\downarrow}+1]. \tag{4}$$

We present the above Hamiltonian in detail as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{eks}^0 &= \sum_{<jk>}c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j,\uparrow}(1-n_{j,\downarrow}-n_{k,\downarrow})+c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k,\uparrow}(1-n_{j,\downarrow}-n_{k,\downarrow})+c_{k,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j,\downarrow}(1-n_{j,\uparrow}-n_{k,\uparrow}) \\ &+c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k,\downarrow}(1-n_{j,\uparrow}-n_{k,\uparrow})+\frac{1}{2}(n_j-1)(n_k-1)+c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k,\downarrow}c_{k,\uparrow}+c_{j,\downarrow}c_{j,\uparrow}c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k,\downarrow}^\dagger \\ &-\frac{1}{2}(n_{j,\uparrow}-n_{j,\downarrow})(n_{k,\uparrow}-n_{k,\downarrow})-c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j,\uparrow}c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k,\downarrow}-c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j,\downarrow}c_{k,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k,\uparrow} \\ &+(n_{j,\uparrow}-\frac{1}{2})(n_{j,\downarrow}-\frac{1}{2})+(n_{k,\uparrow}-\frac{1}{2})(n_{k,\downarrow}-\frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This Hamiltonian is just the model proposed by Essler, Korepin and Schoutens and has the symmetry of $SU(2|2)$. And it was studied in detail in [7].

Now, let us see the $SU(4)$ case. Generally, the $SU(2)$ fermion chain is presented as $H'_a = \sum_{<jk>}[a_j^\dagger a_k + a_k^\dagger a_j + 2n_{j,a}n_{k,a} - n_{j,a} - n_{k,a}]$. Similar to the above method, we can construct a two-leg fermion ladder with the $SU(4)$ symmetry

$$H = -H^0 + U\sum_{j=1}^{L}(n_{j,\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{j,\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}), \tag{6}$$

where $H^0 = \sum_{<jk>} H^0_{jk}$ and we define

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_{jk} &= [c^\dagger_{j,\uparrow}c_{k,\uparrow} + c^\dagger_{k,\uparrow}c_{j,\uparrow} + 2n_{j,\uparrow}n_{k,\uparrow} - n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{k,\uparrow} + 1] \\ &\quad \times[c^\dagger_{j,\downarrow}c_{k,\downarrow} + c^\dagger_{k,\downarrow}c_{j,\downarrow} + 2n_{j,\downarrow}n_{k,\downarrow} - n_{j,\downarrow} - n_{k,\downarrow} + 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We write explicitly this new Hamiltonian in the form of $SU(4)$ generators

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_{jk} &= \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}[(c^\dagger_{j,\sigma}c_{k,\sigma} + c^\dagger_{k,\sigma}c_{j,\sigma})(1-n_{j,-\sigma})(1-n_{k,-\sigma}) + (c^\dagger_{j,\sigma}c_{k,\sigma} + c^\dagger_{k,\sigma}c_{j,\sigma})n_{j,-\sigma}n_{k,-\sigma}] \\ &\quad + c^\dagger_{j,\uparrow}c^\dagger_{j,\downarrow}c_{k,\downarrow}c_{k,\uparrow} + c_{j,\downarrow}c_{j,\uparrow}c^\dagger_{k,\uparrow}c^\dagger_{k,\downarrow} - c^\dagger_{j,\downarrow}c_{j,\uparrow}c^\dagger_{k,\uparrow}c_{k,\downarrow} - c^\dagger_{j,\uparrow}c_{j,\downarrow}c^\dagger_{k,\downarrow}c_{k,\uparrow} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{j,\downarrow})(n_{k,\uparrow} - n_{k,\downarrow}) + \frac{1}{2}(n_j - 1)(n_k - 1) \\ &\quad + 4(n_{j,\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{j,\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{k,\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{k,\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The Hamiltonian H^0, like the $SU(2|2)$ case, is invariant under spin-reflection $c_{j,\uparrow} \leftrightarrow c_{j,\downarrow}$. But unlike the $SU(2|2)$ case, it does not has the property of particle-hole replacement $c^\dagger_{j,\sigma} \leftrightarrow c_{j,\sigma}$ invariance. There are four kinds of state at a given site: $|0>_i, |\uparrow>_i = c^\dagger_{i,\uparrow}|0>_i, |\downarrow>_i = c^\dagger_{i,\downarrow}|0>_i, |\uparrow\downarrow>_i = c^\dagger_{i,\downarrow}c^\dagger_{i,\uparrow}|0>$, two of them are fermionic and the other two are bosonic. The last state represents that an electron pair is localized on a single lattice site which is called localons and is considered to be the mechanism to form 'Cooper pairs'[3].

The new Hamiltonian commute with η-pairings $\eta^\dagger = \sum_j^L c^\dagger_{j,\downarrow}c^\dagger_{j,\uparrow}$ and $\eta = \sum_j^L c_{j,\uparrow}c_{j,\downarrow}$. It is argued that η-pairs is a rather typical phenomenon in superconductivity[7]. In terms of electronic operators $c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ in momentum space, $c^\dagger_{j,\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{j}}c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$, we find $\eta^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{k}\uparrow}$ is just the BCS order parameter, and similar for case $\eta = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}$.

The η-pairs forms a $SU(2)$ algebra. The generators $\eta^\dagger_j = c^\dagger_{j,\downarrow}c^\dagger_{j,\uparrow}$, $\eta_j = c_{j,\uparrow}c_{j,\downarrow}$ and $\eta^z_j = -\frac{1}{2}n_j + \frac{1}{2}$ satisfy the relations $[\eta_j, \eta^\dagger_j] = 2\eta^z_j, [\eta^\dagger_j, \eta^z_j] = \eta^\dagger_j, [\eta_j, \eta^z_j] = -\eta_j$. And similarly the spin operators $S_j = c^\dagger_{j,\uparrow}c_{j,\downarrow}, S^\dagger_j = c^\dagger_{j,\downarrow}c_{j,\uparrow}$ and $S^z_j = \frac{1}{2}(n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{j,\downarrow})$ also satisfy $SU(2)$ algebra $[S_j, S^\dagger_j] = 2S^z_j, [S^\dagger_j, S^z_j] = S^\dagger_j, [S_j, S^z_j] = -S_j$. These generators are grassmann even (bosonic), and generator $X_j = (n_{j,\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{j,\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2})$ is also a grassmann even operator. Here we introduce eight grassmann odd (fermionic) generators $Q_{j,\sigma} = (1-n_{j,-\sigma})c_{j,\sigma}, Q^\dagger_{j,\sigma} = (1-n_{j,-\sigma})c^\dagger_{j,\sigma}, \tilde{Q}_{j,\sigma} = n_{j,-\sigma}c_{j,\sigma}, \tilde{Q}^\dagger_{j,\sigma} = n_{j,-\sigma}c^\dagger_{j,\sigma}$, with $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ representing spin up and spin down respectively. In terms of these generators, the Hamiltonian H^0_{jk} is written as

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_{jk} &= \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}[Q^\dagger_{j,\sigma}Q_{k,\sigma} + Q^\dagger_{k,\sigma}Q_{j,\sigma} + \tilde{Q}^\dagger_{j,\sigma}\tilde{Q}_{k,\sigma} + \tilde{Q}^\dagger_{k,\sigma}\tilde{Q}_{j,\sigma}] \\ &\qquad + \eta^\dagger_j\eta_k + \eta_j\eta^\dagger_k + 2\eta^z_j\eta^z_k - S^\dagger_jS_k - S_jS^\dagger_k + 2S^z_jS^z_k + 4X_jX_k + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Because we actually use the graded method, the Hamiltonian lost the $SU(4)$ invariant property, for example, $[S^\dagger, H^0] \neq 0$, with $S^\dagger = \sum_j^L S^\dagger_j$. The one-dimensional Hamiltonian is equal to a graded $SU(4)$

permutation operator and can be diagonalized by using the graded Bethe ansatz method. The energy of the Hamiltonian H^0 is given by[8]

$$E = L - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2 + \frac{1}{4}}, \tag{10}$$

where λ_j satisfy the following Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\lambda_k - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_k + \frac{i}{2}}\right)^L &= \prod_{j=1,\neq k}^{N} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_j - i}{\lambda_k - \lambda_j + i} \prod_{l=1}^{N^{(1)}} \frac{\lambda_l^{(1)} - \lambda_k - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_l^{(1)} - \lambda_k + \frac{i}{2}}, &(11)\\
\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_k^{(1)} - \lambda_j + \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_k^{(1)} - \lambda_j - \frac{i}{2}} &= \prod_{l=1}^{N^{(1)}} \frac{\lambda_l^{(1)} - \lambda_k^{(1)} - i}{\lambda_k^{(1)} - \lambda_l^{(1)} - i} \prod_{j=1}^{N^{(2)}} \frac{\lambda_k^{(1)} - \lambda_j^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_j^{(2)} - \lambda_k^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}}, &(12)\\
\prod_{l=1,\neq k}^{N^{(2)}} \frac{\lambda_l^{(2)} - \lambda_k^{(2)} + i}{\lambda_l^{(2)} - \lambda_k^{(2)} - i} &= \prod_{j=1}^{N^{(1)}} \frac{\lambda_k^{(2)} - \lambda_j^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_k^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}}, &(13)
\end{aligned}$$

and k take values, $1, \cdots, N$, $1 \cdots N^{(1)}$ and $1, \cdots, N^{(2)}$ respectively in the above Bethe ansatz equations.

The Hamiltonian commute with the generator $X = \sum_{j=1}^{L} X_j$, so the interaction term in (6) with coupling constant U does not break the integrability of the Hamiltonian. Generally, We can also add such terms as chemical potential and magnetic field in the Hamiltonian which does not change the integrability of the model.

Define the η-pairing generators $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{L} \eta_j, \eta^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^{L} \eta_j^\dagger$ and $\eta^z = \sum_{j=1} \eta_j^z$ which constitute the $SU(2)$ algebra[3]. We can find that the Hamiltonian commute with those generators $[H, \eta] = [H, \eta^\dagger] = 0$. The state $(\eta^\dagger)^N|0>$ is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (6) with eigenvalue $E = \frac{UL}{4} - M$, here we assume that the total number of nearest-neighbor links $< jk >$ in the lattice is M. As shown in [3], this eigenstate possess ODLRO. Explicitly, the off-diagonal matrix element of the reduced denstiy matrix reads:

$$\frac{< 0|\eta^N c_{k,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{l,\uparrow} c_{l,\downarrow} (\eta^\dagger)^N |0 >}{< 0|\eta^N (\eta^\dagger)^N|0 >} = \frac{N(L-N)}{L(L-1)}, \tag{14}$$

here we assume $k \neq l$, and the relation $< 0|\eta^N (\eta^\dagger)^N|0 >= N!L \times \cdots \times (L - N + 1)$ is useful in above calculation. We can find that the off-diagonal matrix element is constant for large distance $|k - l|$ which means that the eigenstate has the ODLRO property. Here we showed, like the $SU(2|2)$ case, the state $(\eta)^N|0>$ which possess ODLRO is also an eigenstate of the new Hamiltonian (6).

We can propose a more general extention of the Hubbard model, besides the original terms [7], we add an extra term $W(c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{k,\sigma} + c_{k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}) n_{j,-\sigma} n_{k,\sigma}$ in the Hamiltonian.

The n-leg integrable fermion chains are assumed as follows

$$H^0 = \sum_{<jk>} \prod_{\sigma=1}^{n} [c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{k,\sigma} + c_{k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} - n_{j,\sigma} - n_{k,\sigma} + 1], \tag{15}$$

$$H^0 = \sum_{<jk>} \prod_{\sigma=1}^{n} [c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{k,\sigma} + c_{k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + 2n_{j,\sigma} n_{k,\sigma} - n_{j,\sigma} - n_{k,\sigma} + 1]. \tag{16}$$

The first one has the $SU(2^{n-1}|2^{n-1})$ symmetry, and the second is the $SU(2^n)$ case. The Hamiltonian can also be diagonalized by the Bethe ansatz method.

In conclusion, we propose the fermion-ladder models. For two-leg fermion-ladder models, we obtain extentions of the Hubbard model with η-pairing. The Hamiltonians are the $SU(2|2)$ and $SU(4)$ cases. The $SU(4)$ case is a new model, its eigenstate possess ODLRO property. The n-leg fermion ladders are conjectured.

The author is supported by JSPS. He would like to thank M.Wadati for kind support and encouragement. He also thank A.Foerster, J.Links, Y.Z.Zhang and H.Q.Zhou for useful communications.

References

[1] P.W.Anderson, Science **235**, 1196(1987); F.C.Zhang and T.M.Rice, Phys. Rev.**B37**, 3759(1988).

[2] C.N.Yang, Phys.Rev.Lett.**63**,2144(1989); C.N.Yang and S.C.Zhang, Mod.Phys.Lett.**B4**,759 (1990).

[3] F.H.L.Essler, V.E.Korepin and K.Schoutens, Phys.Rev. Lett.**68**,2960(1992); Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993)73.

[4] S.Chakravarty, A.Sudbo, P.W.Anderson and S.Strong, Science **261**,337(1993).

[5] E.Dagotto and T.M.Rice, Science **271**, 618(1996);

[6] S.C.Zhang, Science **275**,1089(1997); D.A.Ivanov and Patrick A.Lee, Phys.Rev.**B57**,2118(1998); A.A.Nersesyan and A.M.Tsvelik, Phys.Rev.Lett.**78**,3939 (1997); Yupen Wang,*Exact solution of a spin-ladder model*, cond-mat/9901168; M.T.Batchelor, M.Maslen, *Exactly solvable quantum spin tubes and ladders*, cond-mat/9907134, D.Allen, F.H.L.Essler, A.A.Nersesyan, *The fate of spinons in spontaneously dimerised spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ ladders*, cond-mat/9907303; A.J.Bracken, X.Y.Ge, Y.Z.Zhang and H.Q.Zhou, Nucl.Phys.**B516**(1998)603.

[7] F.H.L.Essler, V.E.Korepin and K.Schoutens, Int.J.Mod.Phys.**B8**, 3205, 3243 (1994); F.H.L.Essler, J. Low Temp. Phys.**99**, 415 (1995); for some related papers, see, J.de Boer, V.E.Korepin, A.Schadschneider, Phys.Rev.Lett. **74**, 789 (1995), where the mechanism of η-pairing in superconductivity is presented. And a more general version of the extended Hubbard model are presented, see relation (4). We can add an extra term $W(c^\dagger_{j,\sigma}c_{k,\sigma}+c^\dagger_{k,\sigma}c_{j,\sigma})n_{j,-\sigma}n_{k,\sigma}$ in the Hamiltonian (4); A same construction is also proposed by A.A.Aligia and L.Arrachea, cond-mat/9907491, *Triplet superconductivity in quasi one-dimensional systems.*; G.Albertini, V.E.Korepin, A.Schadschneider, cond-mat/9411051, *XXZ model as effective Hamiltonian for generalized Hubbard models with broken η-symmetry.*

[8] H.Fan et al, in preparation.